WarmLine Family Resource Center Roseville

Spring 2006 Learning Conversation Placer County First 5 Commission

Thank you First 5 Placer County!

- In fiscal year 2003-2004, the Sacramento WarmLine Office served 145 children in Placer County ages birth-5.
- From 30 Nov. 2004 30 Nov. 2005, our Placer Co. office has served 275 families (unduplicated) and counting!

Demographics

- Collected from Intake Forms and ROI's
- Ethnicity data is estimated to the best of staff ability when not explicitly known
- ROI information is more complete
- Currently implementing networked database between Sacramento & Placer office to track diagnoses and eliminate duplication within event data

Demographics: Ethnicity

	Summe Intake	r ROI	Fall Intak	e ROI	Total
• Caucasian:	50	(25)	36	(30)	141
 Hispanic 	12	(3)	10	(4)	29
 Native Am. 	0	(0)	0	(0)	0
African Am.	0	(0)	2	(0)	2
Pacific Island.	0	(0)	1	(0)	1
• Other	5	(2)	4	(2)	13

Outcome: Parents will have access

186

Demographics: Location

	Summer Intake	ROI	Fall Intake	ROI	Total
Roseville	26	(14)	20	(17)	79
Rocklin	8	(7)	12	(8)	35
• Auburn	2	(2)	0	(2)	6
Mtn. Areas	1	(1)	0	(2)	4
Lincoln	4	(3)	11	(3)	21
Granite Bay	3	(2)	1	(3)	9
Loomis- Penryn	0	(1)	2	(1)	4
Outcome: Parents will	23	(0)	7	(0)	28 186

Demographics: Age

	Summer	Fall	Total
• Under 1	9	7	16
• 1 year	9	5	14
2 years	20	20	40
3 years	10	8	18
4 years	11	7	18
5 years	8	6	14
POI's (under 3)	30	36	66
	97	89	186

Demographics: Gender

	Summer Intake	ROI	Fall Intake	ROI	Total
MaleFemale	56 10	(14)(3)	38 14	(16)(3)	124 30
Unknown	1	(13)	1	(17)	32
	67	(30)	53	(36)	186

Demographics: Diagnosis

Summer	Fall	n
29	21	50
6	6	12
10	11	21
0	2	2
4	5	9
2	6	8
	29 6 10 0 4	29 21 6 6 10 11 0 2 4 5

n=129 (continued on next slide)

Demographics: Diagnosis, Cont.

	Summer	Fall	n
Down's Synd.	5	3	8
OtherChromosomal	3	3	6
Cerebral Palsy	3	2	5
Cancer	1	0	1
Prematurity	0	3	3
Other Medical	1	3	4
	64	65	129

Number of Children also Enrolled in Early Start

- Spring = 60
- Summer = 63
- Fall = 68

Physical Location: Update

- Office Hours = M-F 9:00 4:00
- Evening Events once a week = 6:30-9:00 p.m.
- On average, 2-3 walk-ins per day
- 12 Summer events were hosted at the office with a total of 49 parents served
- 15 Fall events were hosted at the office with a total of 76 parents served
- 12/12 e-survey respondents said convenient location, but one recommended having a sign on the street.

Outcome: Parents will have access and network

Events: Recurring

Outcome: Understanding IEP/IFSP

	Summer	Fall	Total
Mom's Night Out	30	25	55
 Autism Support 	15	19	34
 DHOH Support 	2	7	9
Coffee & Conv.	2	2	4
Infant Playgroup	7	9	16
Scrapbooking	11	6	17
Playdate at the Park	5	9	14
Outcome: Parents will network	72	77	149

Outcome: Parent to Parent support

Events: Special Trainings

	Summer			Fall	
•	Travelling w/	8	•	Parent Leadership	8
	Special Needs		•	PCOE Health &	50
•	Behavior	6		Safety	
•	Disability Awareness	50	•	Behavior	8
	Faire		•	World of Autism	30
)	Child Care Providers' Potluck	21		(for PCOE CARES)	

Total: 181 (both quarters)

Outcome: Parents will network

Outwork: Parent to Parent support

Events: Special Social Opportunities

Summer		Fall Fall	
 Movie Night 	5	Family Picnic	31
 Ice Cream Socials 	24	• Afternoon Tea	19
Roseville Special Ed	12	 DHOH Halloween 	12
Preschool Brkfst		Party	
Folsom Zoo	40	Potluck	6

Total: 149 (both quarters)

Outcome: Parents will network

Outcome: Parent to Parent support

JEP Trainings

- 18 Parents received training in Summer
- 25 Parents received training in Fall
- "Parent Knowledge of IEP Process" reported by Staff (*rating scale*) and by Parents on Paper & Electronic Surveys (*action taken*)
- "Parent Comfort Level" reported by parents on Paper & Electronic Surveys (confidence level)
- Phone training continues to be the method most frequently utilized by our clients (22/43)

Staff Survey Results

Parent Knowledge of the IEP Process

	n Pre-Train	n Post-Train
• New (0-25)	23	8
Beginner (30-55)	6	9
Intermediate (60-85)	9	16
• Advanced (90-105)	5	10
Outcome: Understanding IEP/IFSP	43	43

n Doct-Train

Parent Survey Results How old is your special needs child?

	Paper	Electronic	Total
• 1 year	0	0	0
2 years	4	1	5
• 3 years	2	3	5
4 years	1	0	1
5 years	3	1	4
6 +	0	2	2

17

Parent Survey Results What questions did you have?

Theme	Paper	Electronic	Total
• IEP process	4	0	4
Mediation	1	0	1
App. Ed. Place.	5	1	6
ChangingTherapist	0	1	1
TakingActive Role	0	1	1
NoResponse	0	4	4
	Outcome:	Understanding IEP/IFSP	17

Parent Survey Results Did the training answer your questions? Paper Electronic Total

• Yes	10	5	15
• No	0	0	0
Partially	0	2	2
NLA			

· NA

17

Outcome: Understanding IEP/IFSP

Parent Survey Results What is your confidence level now?

	Paper	Electronic	Total
Very Confident	4	2	6
Confident	0	1	1
BetterStillUnsureNoChange	6	4	10

Outcome: Ability to advocate

Parent Survey Results What action have you taken?

	Paper	Electronic	Total
• Called School	4	2	6
BuiltConfidence	1	3	4
Built Prof. Relationsh.	1	0	1
AttendedWkshops	1	0	1
AttendedSocial Out.	1	0	1
No Action	2	2	4
Outcome: Ability	y to advocate		17

Parent Survey Results Would you ever refer a friend?

		Paper	Electronic	Total
•	Already have	8	3	11
•	Yes	2	4	6
•	No			

17

Outcome: Parent to parent support

	March-May 2	005			June-Aug 200)5				SeptNov. 2	005						
	Clients from I	ntakes	ROI's		Clients from I	ntakes	ROI's		sub total	Clients from	Intakes	ROI's		sub total	TOTAL		
Total (N)	50		39		67		30		97	53		36		89	275		Total (N)
Category	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%		n	%	n	%		n	%	Category
Males	40	80			56	84	14	47	70	38	54	16	44	54	164	60	Males
Females	14	28			10	15	3	10	13	14	20	3	8	17	44	16	Females
Unknown					1	1	13	43	14	1	1	17	47	18			Unknown
under 1	5	10			9	13		0	9	7	10		0	7	21	8	under 1
1 year	7	14			9	13		0	9	5	7		0	5	21	8	1 year
2 years	13	26			20	30		0	20	20	29		0	20	53	19	2 years
3 years	4	8			10	15		0	10	8	11		0	8	22	8	3 years
4 years	9	18			11	16		0	11	7	10		0	7	27	10	4 years
5 years	12	24			8	12		0	8	6	9		0	6	26	9	5 years
Early Start	25	50	35		33	49	30	100	63	32	46	36	100	68	191	69	Early Start
Caucasian	41	82			50	75	25	83	75	36	51	30	83	66	182	66	Caucasian
Hispanic	3	6			12	18	3	10	15	10	14	4	11	14	32	12	Hispanic
Pacific Islander					0	0		0	0	1	1		0	1	1	0	Pacific Islander
African-American	0	0			0	0		0	0	2	3		0	2	2	1	African-American
Other	6	12			5	7	2	7	7	4	6	2	6	6	19		Other
Roseville	14	28			26	39	14	47	40	20	29	17	47	37	91		Roseville
Rocklin	14	28			8	12	7	23	15	12	17	8	22	20	49	18	Rocklin
Auburn	3	6			2	3	2	7	4	0	0	2	6	2	9	3	Auburn
Lincoln	4	8			4	6	3	10	7	11	16	3	8	14	25	9	Lincoln
Loomis-Penryn	1	2			0	0	1	3	1	2	3	1	3	3	5	2	Loomis-Penryn
Mountain Areas	0	0			1	1	1	3	2	0	0	2	6	2	4	1	Mountain Areas
Granite Bay					3	4	2	7	5	1	1	3	8	4	9	3	Granite Bay
Other	14	28			23	34		0	23	7	10		0	7	44	16	Other

Staff Survey Results:Parent Knowledge of the IEP Process

N=43

Summe	r 2005 (n =	18)		Fall	2005 (n = 25)		
Client	Pre-Train	Post-Train	Change	Clier	nt Pre-Train	Post-Train	Change
#1	10	45	35	#19	0	15	15
#2	10	15	5	#20	0	15	15
#3	10	15	5	#21	0	55	55
#4	10	15	5	#22	10	15	5
#5	10	45	35	#23	10	35	25
#6	10	55	45	#24	10	45	35
#7	20	85	65	#25	10	85	75
#8	20	95	75	#26	10	105	95
#9	20	25	5	#27	20	55	35
#10	20	85	65	#28	20	55	35
#11	40	75	35	#29	20	55	35
#12	50	75	25	#30	20	85	65
#13	50	65	15	#31	20	25	5
#14	50	85	35	#32	40	75	35
#15	60	75	15	#33	50	85	35
#16	80	85	5	#34	80	85	5
#17	80	105	25	#35	80	85	5
#18	80	85	5	#36	80	85	5
				#37	80	95	15
				#38	80	95	15
				#39	90	95	5
				#40	90	95	5
				#41	90	105	15
				#42	100	105	5
				#43	100	105	5

SUMMER 2005

Count of Clie						
Pre-Train		Total				
	10	6				
	20	4				
	40	1				
	50	3				
	60	1				
	80	3				
Grand Total		18				

Count of Client	
Post-Train	Total
15	3
25	1
45	2
55	1
65	1
75	3
85	5
95	1
105	1
Grand Total	18

FALL 2005

Count of Client	
Pre-Train	Total
0	3
10	5
20	5
40	1
50	1
80	5
90	3
100	2
Grand Total	25

Count of Client	
Post-Train	Total
15	3
25	1
35	1
45	1
55	4
75	1
85	6
95	4
105	4
Grand Total	25

TOTAL 2005

Count of Client	
Pre_Train	Total
0	3
10	11
20	9
40	2
50	4
60	1
80	8
90	3
100	3 2
Grand Total	43

Count of Clie	ent	
Post-Train		Total
	15	6
	25	2
	35	1
	45	3
	55	3 5
	65	1
	75	4
	85	11
	95	5
•	105	5
Grand Total		43

Staff Survey Results: Parent Knowledge of the IEP Process

Delivery Method Comparison N = 43

Client	Method	Pre_Train	Post-Train	Change
#27	IEP-F	20	55	35
#7	IEP-F	20	85	65
#33	IEP-F	50	85	35
#15	IEP-F	60	75	15
#16	IEP-F	80	85	5
#1	IEP-I	10	45	35
#8	IEP-I	20	95	75
#12	IEP-I	50	75	25
#34	IEP-I	80	85	5
#17	IEP-I	80	105	25
#19	IEP-P	0	15	15
#20	IEP-P	0	15	15
#2	IEP-P	10	15	5
#3	IEP-P	10	15	5
#4	IEP-P	10	15	5
#22	IEP-P	10	15	5
#23	IEP-P	10	35	25
#5	IEP-P	10	45	35
#24	IEP-P	10	45	35
#6	IEP-P	10	55	45
#25	IEP-P	10	85	75
#9	IEP-P	20	25	5
#28	IEP-P	20	55	35
#29	IEP-P	20	55	35
#10	IEP-P	20	85	65
#30	IEP-P	20	85	65
#11	IEP-P	40	75	35
#32	IEP-P	40	75	35
#13	IEP-P	50	65	15
#14	IEP-P	50	85	35
#18	IEP-P	80	85	5
#39	IEP-P	90	95	5
#21	IEP-W	0	55	55
#26	IEP-W	10	105	95
#31	IEP-W	20	25	5
#35	IEP-W	80	85	5
#36	IEP-W	80	85	5
#37	IEP-W	80	95	15
#38	IEP-W	80	95	15
#30 #40	IEP-W	90	95	5
#40 #41	IEP-W	90	105	15
#41 #42	IEP-W	100	105	5
#42 #43	IEP-W			
#43	IEP-VV	100	105	5

Count of Client	Method				
Pre_Train	IEP-F	IEP-I	IEP-P	IEP-W	Grand Total
0			2	1	3
10		1	9	1	11
20	2	1	5	1	9
40			2		2
50	1	1	2		4
60	1				1
80	1	2	1	4	8
90			1	2	3
100				2	2
Grand Total	5	5	22	11	43

Count of Client	Method				
Post-Training	IEP-F	IEP-I	IEP-P	IEP-W	Grand Total
15			6		6
25			1	1	2
35			1		1
45		1	2		3
55	1		3	1	5
65			1		1
75	1	1	2		4
85	3	1	5	2	11
95		1	1	3	5
105		1		4	5
Grand Total	5	5	22	11	43